MS. SUSAN PIERCE: 1 My point refers to the historic character of the property when it 2 3 was used by General Jenkins. MR. BUDRUS: Oh, can we determine 4 exactly what it looked like back then? 5 Is that 6 the question? MS. KAREN NANCE: Karen Nance. can say we can determine how many acres that were 8 developed and cultivated by the agricultural 9 So, the agricultural census was taken and 10 is up in Charleston in 1850 and 1860, which tells 11 12 how many acres are developed and how many acres 13 are not. And then you could add to that the 14 number of crops that came off of that and the 15 number of cattle and things to get a better idea as to how much of the land would have had to be 16 17 dry. MR. BUDRUS: You may not be able to 18 19 tell exactly which acre was dry. 20 MS. SUSAN PIERCE: Pardon? MR. BUDRUS: You may not be able to 21 22 tell which acre was dry or wet, but you can get a 23 better feel for it. MS. SUSAN PIERCE: But you'd have a 24

better understanding of the historic use of the 1 2 property. MR. PETE SAMOSKY: There's hundreds 3 of acres out there dry now. 4 MS. DOVIE DUNN: You do know a --5 use logic. Dovie Dunn. 6 MR. BUDRUS: Dovie Dunn. MS. DOVIE DUNN: If you was going 8 to build a house of the magnitude of the Jenkins 9 Plantation, which at that time was very wealthy 10 people, you would not build it right in front of a 11 mosquito nest. You would not build it right where 12 it was real soaking wet. Sure, you wanted it 13 close to the river so you could have your water 14 and your transportation. But you would not have 15 built it right directly on top of water. That's 16 17 just logic. MR. BUDRUS: Okay. 18 MR. JERRY KRUEGER: Jerry Krueger. 19 Is that land on the other side I have a question. 20 of the property available? 21 MR. NED JONES: No, I don't know if 22 it is or not, but I would say that because of the 23

fact that we have had the support of Congressman

24

Rahall and Senator Byrd and we have Culture and History that if the Corps of Engineers puts together a plan that is acceptable to everyone, that helps -- that helps everybody that's involved in this whole thing to make it all better, then we certainly get a lot of support and funding for something along that line.

That's not a guarantee, but it just appears to me that -- it isn't very often I think in a number of issues I've worked on around here that we have this sort of support from our congressman and United States senator, and probably both senators on this issue.

But I think it takes people working together to be able to pull those dollars down. And that's what -- that's why I'm excited about, you know, what the Corps is doing right now in trying to see how collectively we can make this a win-win situation.

MR. JERRY KREUGER: One thing that probably would be an immediate concern is the land being available to meet the ideal situation. That way it preserves that whole area there.

MS. DOVIE DUNN: The state would

1	probably Dovie Dunn. The state would probably
2	own that other side because there used to be
3	another house there before they widened Route 2.
4	And when the state widened Route 2, they probably
5	purchased that land and probably still own it.
6	MR. NED JONES: So, it's the north
7	side.
8	MR. BUDRUS: Could we have one at a
9	time? Sorry.
10	A VOICE: She didn't get to ask her
11	question.
12	MR. BUDRUS: Okay.
13	MS. BEVERLY WHITE: Let's see. The
14	question. Oh, so there was some existing wetland,
15	you know, around the house? I mean not right
16	underneath, but I mean sort of close to the house?
17	A VOICE: No.
18	MR. PETE SAMOSKY: It was, and you
19	drained it.
20	MR. JERRY KREUGER: Okay. Well
21	MR. WRIGHT: There was about 90
22	acres of wetland, I believe, when the Corps
23	acquired the property.
24	MS. BEVERLY WHITE: Okay. The

Corps has augmented the existing wetland. Is that correct?

MR. BUDRUS: Yes, we've constructed -- this wetland area here downstream of the house, that's all new construction, by constructing the series of levies and pump stations. That's new -- roughly 80 acres of new wetland that we constructed to mitigate at Byrd.

This wetland was existing when we bought the property, but I'll admit that it is more now than it was before. And when we say "wetland," that doesn't necessarily mean water. That means moist soil, the right type of soil, that kind of thing, too. But there is more water there now than there was ten years ago.

MS. BEVERLY WHITE: This just seems like a no-brainer to me. You know, we can't -- if the Jenkins House is deteriorating, we can't -- I mean if we lose it, it's gone. If it's possible to mitigate, as I believe is the term you're using, problems with the wetland areas and, you know, satisfy your problems with the birds and boardwalk and still maintain the house and preserve it and, you know, reverse it and have the

best of both worlds for both --1 MR. PETE SAMOSKY: Right. But the 2 Corps slides indicate that the wetland is not 3 damaging the house. You said that twice. 4 MR. BUDRUS: Based on -- from an 5 6 engineering standpoint. The thing is if 7 MS. BEVERLY WHITE: -- I mean we cannot -- this is history. I mean 8 this is history. We cannot afford to let the 9 place go to rot and ruin if that is what is going 10 11 to happen. MR. PETE SAMOSKY: That can't 12 The only thing is going to happen to the 13 14 house is it's going to get preserved. MR. BUDRUS: We just want to come 15 up with a plan that would satisfy both sides, that 16 we could work together. That's what we're looking 17 for. 18 MS. JUNE ASHWORTH: We can't have 19 -- my name is June Ashworth. You can have present 20 water because I've pumped the basement of that 21 22 house out. MR. BUDRUS: Okay. And that's --23 MS. JUNE ASHWORTH: You can. 24 You

can't have that present water in this house. 1 2 MR. PETE SAMOSKY: Well, now, ma'am, sometimes you can have water in the 3 basements, but it's not water anywhere close to the house. And I've pumped basements on hillsides 5 where there is no water. 6 7 You said it's groundwater going into the basement, is it not? It's not the wetland? 8 MR. BUDRUS: When the water comes 9 up, when you have a flood event, it seeks its own 10 11 level and backs up. 12 MS. JUNE ASHWORTH: But if you have 13 the water already high --MR. BUDRUS: But it's still five 14 15 feet below the bottom of the basement floor. MR. PETE SAMOSKY: This is 16 important to understand. This is groundwater 17 This is not the wetland seeping into the 18 run-off. house. You need to understand that. I'd work in 19 preserving the house. But this is not the wetland 20 impinging on it. I think that's very important. 21 22 MS. KAREN NANCE: Well -- it's Karen Nance -- my answer to that is the wetlands 23 are creating a drainage problem of that run-off. 24

MR. PETE SAMOSKY: Then let's fix 1 2 the drain. MS. KAREN NANCE: Well, the 3 drainage problem is it can't run away from the 4 house down Turkey Creek like it naturally would. 5 And I'd also like to mention about wetlands, 6 and particularly in the state of West Virginia, 7 when beavers come in, they eat up an area and they 8 move on, and then the next good high water that 9 comes along takes out the dam and it dries back up 10 again. And that's the nature of wetlands and 11 pools of water, particularly in West Virginia. 12 We don't have natural lakes in this state. 13 That's one of the things. Of course, we do have 14 15 wetlands. The problem I see is the pool of water, not that the soil is not wetland soil or saturated 16 It's that pool of water that is standing 17 that close to the house. That's my problem. 18 Okay. And we've got MR. BUDRUS: 19 your concern and we'll consider that, yes. 20 Wait a second. We have a lady back here. 21 MS. NANCY SPURLOCK: My name is 22 Nancy Spurlock. The front lawn was not flooded 23

and there was a road that went out through there

24

1 to the river, but you cannot get out through there anymore. So, that water wasn't there. 2 3 MR. BUDRUS: That was about what 4 year? MS. NANCY SPURLOCK: '89, because 5 they used to farm the ground that it flooded and 6 7 went out the road and farmed out there. 8 MR. BUDRUS: And I did point out that over the last ten years the beaver activity 9 has raised the water level there one and a half to 10 1.7 feet. So, and I do understand your concern. 11 12 We've seen that happen in the last 10 or 12 years. 13 CLARA KNIGHT: Colonel Fairwell 14 and --15 MR. BUDRUS: It's Clara Knight. 16 MS. CLARA KNIGHT: Congressman Rahall came over that road while we 17 were there, when we met there, and there wasn't 18 The road now is flooded and it's any water then. 19 cut the house over from the river. 20 21 I think I should mention that Judge Norcott 22 was the one to put a convertor ditch in from Turkey Creek back to what we call the old swamp. 23 He put a convertor ditch in there to take any 24

water, any excess water, from any fields into the lower area there. It wasn't a swamp, but it become the old swamp. And so that's what -Norcott created the old swamp and he also made the island. He cut the -- he took sand and gravel out, and this also -- and there's a beautiful beach over there.

So, when you people clean out the river, if you could dump it in there and get it back to that beautiful beach over there, it would be nice.

MR. BUDRUS: Yes, ma'am.

MS. ELEANOR TAYLOR: I wanted to ask a question. My name is Eleanor Taylor. The question is in regard to the -- I understood that Mr. Davis, who has a farm moving toward Huntington now from the Jenkins home, that he offered land to mitigate whatever land was taken by the -- we're only talking -- how wide would you say it is? The spot that we're interested in in front of the house?

A VOICE: Half a city block or something. I don't know. Half a city block.

MS. ELEANOR TAYLOR: Half a city block. Anyway, so I want to know did that reach

the Corps? Or I mean what was the outcome of his offer?

MR. BUDRUS: I haven't had an offer from him. I've heard it secondhand that there's been some land possibly located in that area.

MR. PETE SAMOSKY: But I'd like you to keep in mind, though, you can't take 200 feet here -- and that's great. Say we take 200 or 2,000 square yards out in front of the house or he gives us 2,000 square yards. That's not apples to apples to a bird. The reason that's attractive, because it's in the middle of this huge place where there aren't people. You know, if you split 2,000 square yards out here, you're not going to get the same birds. You're not creating the same habitat. That is not -- and I think that's a good idea, though, but that would not be --

MR. BUDRUS: We can consider that as part of the mitigation. We can consider that.

MS. ELEANOR TAYLOR: My statement is some years ago we were very interested in this. At one time, a group of people who were interested in bird-watching and all that kind of thing, they had a chance to, you know, tour all around, and

they chose to come to Green Bottom. And they parked their bus out there and went and did their bird-watching and all.

What I'm saying is that what I see is your-all's interest tying with our interest and tying with the archaeologic people and all and all of us having some wonderful -- there's a house up in Charleston called the Craig Patton House which the DAR has fixed up, and I mean it is beautifully restored, but it is right downtown with all the traffic going by.

We have a gem here which is -- we want the nature. We want it the way those people lived, and that's the way it was with the birds and all of it. All we -- but I think that any of you would -- and, you know, like we could have a hunt there and advertise it in the New York Times and bring people down and let's go hunt in the area. We could do a bird-watching thing.

We could do weddings on the lawn. But the lawn is crucial in the sense that you couldn't get anybody to go to Mount Vernon if you've got ducks pooping all over the front lawn. You really need the lawn.

We all want a way. We want to find some way.

And surely birds -- the mitigating land could be hooked up with the land that's already wetland over to left so that they -- so that they would be in the middle of the swamp. I mean surely birds know enough that if something is filled in, they move over here, and it wouldn't be that far away to move.

MR. PETE SAMOSKY: But there's lots of birds that won't live in that open water. They need protection. They are there, and that's the only place out there you can get to.

A VOICE: But if you can't even walk to that new place, then you've just ruined the bird-watching.

MR. BUDRUS: That's something we can consider, and there are ways to mitigate that. You've got to look at the balance. We can't just go in there and fill in the wetlands without doing a look-see to see what happens and what we can do to offset that. And that's what we're looking to, not just go in there with a bulldozer and fill it. It won't happen. You've got to make sure you get this all laid out so that what we do doesn't have

a detrimental effect on the birds and people overall. If you move them, you have to find a place to put them. We'll look at that, sir.

MR. JOHNNY NANCE: I've been a preservation contractor for 20 years. The General Jenkins House is a solid masonry exterior walls. You're taking an elevation from the bottom of modern concrete which would not have been there when the house was originally built.

The foundation to hold up that much mass of brick needs to go quite a few feet below the modern concrete. So, if we -- to take care of everybody's concerns about the house, the water, et cetera, et cetera, you need to locate the bottom of the existing footer, which could be anywhere from two feet to six feet deep.

I worked on a house that was 50 years younger that had a six-foot-deep foundation of stone.

That's the way they're built.

If you're showing a water elevation of five feet below the modern concrete floor, logic tells you that the water is impacting the existing masonry footer. It's not a modern structure.

It's not going to be two feet deep of concrete.

That's not going to be there.

MR. BUDRUS: Okay.

MR. JOHNNY NANCE: So, I think to solve all this argument, we need to find out the existing bottom of the stone foundation would be a simple way of doing that.

MR. BUDRUS: Okay. I've got that.

MR. NED JONES: Could I add something to that, not as not a preservationist contractor but Ned Jones, but as somebody who has built houses in the past?

The -- I had a house that I built years ago, and about seven years after I built it, unfortunately, the people called me and said that they had a problem, they had a crack in their back wall. And I went out to look at it. And what had happened, on the adjoining property is that they had cut a little hill off and had a drainage area going away from there that they had allowed to become stopped up and cattails started growing and everything.

So, I had a structural engineer named Bob
Wolfe out of Charleston to take a look at it. And
he said, well, your problem is when he went down

under the foundation about two and a half feet below our foundation was soil called soapstone. Soapstone is that sort of gray material that you see all over the place around here. They call it soapstone because when it's wet, it's like a wet bar of soap that you can kind of squeeze, but when it's dry, it's hard as stone.

And when that water got damned up behind that house, it percolated down to the soapstone layer, which is about two and a half feet below the foundation of the house that I'd built seven years earlier, and went the house and caused it to crack.

So, I don't know what the implication is by bringing the water up that close, but I think what the Corps needs to look at is that whatever they do, they need to assure everyone that that sort of penetration of the water won't happen in such a way that a year or two from now or ten years from now that the foundation is going to give way because of the fact that the soil below it has become soft and, therefore, it's allowed everything to sink.

MR. BUDRUS: One of the options to

alleviate that is some sort of perimeter drain.

That could be done, although there's a lot of archeological things that have to be done before you could do that, because it's built on an Indian site, too.

But, you know, there are some options to get around or get rid, alleviate the problems.

MS. KAREN NANCE: The good thing is if you're careful, the soil was already dug out for those foundations, and if you're careful how you go down, that Indian site has already been disturbed where you're digging. So, you have to watch where you're going, but they would have dug out quite a bit in order to put that stone in.

So, you're going to have an area out from it that's already been disturbed that you'll only be redisturbing. And so if you're careful, then you can do that without really having a negative impact on the archeology, that Indian site.

MR. BUDRUS: Although we'll go through all the right channels to do that kind of stuff.

Someone else had a hand up? Go ahead.

MS. BEVERLY WHITE: Beverly White.

ACCURATE REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

```
There was a mention -- I believe Karen mentioned
1
     that there are existing native artefacts?
2
                    MS. KAREN NANCE:
                                       Right.
3
          Bob, maybe -- this is Karen Nance again.
4
     you know, Mr. Maslowski, where are Indian sites --
5
     what you-all took out of the ground, where is it
6
     at now? Is any of it still in Kentucky or in West
7
     Virginia somewhere?
8
                    MR. MASLOWSKI: It's all at Grave
 9
     Creek Mound.
10
                    MS. KAREN NANCE:
                                       Is where?
11
12
                    MR. MASLOWSKI: Grave Creek.
                    MS. KAREN NANCE:
                                       That's up at
13
14
     Moundsville?
15
                    MR. MASLOWSKI: Yes.
                    MR. KAREN NANCE: Bob, you know, it
16
     would be nice if we could bring it back to Cabell
17
18
     County.
                     MS. BEVERLY WHITE: Does Marshall
19
     University not have --
20
                     MS. KAREN NANCE: They did some
21
     things, but I don't think they have anywhere to
22
     display it.
23
                     MR. NED JONES: Just in following
24
```

up, since our group had talked about having sort 1 of a museum, maybe a barn or whatever it might be, 2 with an underground railroad part and also the 3 Clover Indian site, is it possible for those 4 artefacts to return if we had the appropriate 5 6 display area for them? MR. MASLOWSKI: Yes, if you had, 7 8 you know, the appropriate display and security. 9 The Clover, major Clover collections I think are 10 in galleries. Actually, we have very little materials from Clover. Marshall and the 11 Huntington Galleries has the major collections. 12 13 MR. NED JONES: What is the location of that? 14 MR. MASLOWSKI: It's the Grave 15 The state's facility is located at 16 Creek Mound. 17 Grave Creek Mound. 18 MR. NED JONES: "I'm sorry. didn't follow that. What came from the Jenkins 19 20 site that is up at Moundsville? MR. MASLOWSKI: Okay. The Jenkins 21 site is stratified. You have historic material on 22 the top associated with the Jenkins occupation, 23 and you have a more ancient layer, and then you 24

1	have a woodland layer below that. So, there's
2	about a thousand years of occupation. And there
3	are the remnants of the outbuildings where an
4	extension of the house was put on. There's some
5	foundations that are buried there.
6	MR. NED JONES: And that's up at
7	Moundsville?
8	MR. MASLOWSKI: I'm telling you
9	what the archaeological site
10	MR. NED JONES: I'm asking what has
1_1	left the site? What artefacts have left the site
12	and where are they?
13	MR. MASLOWSKI: Well, you have
14	pottery and a number of arrowheads, woodland
15	pottery and a number of historic artefacts.
16	MR. BUDRUS: And they are where?
17	That's his question.
18	MR. MASLOWSKI: What?
19	MR. BUDRUS: Where are they?
20	MR. MASLOWSKI: At Moundsville.
21	MR. BUDRUS: So, there is some
22	historics there. But you're right, this may be
23	impossible to put them on display.
24	A VOICE: You keep mentioning the

underground railroad. Does this have anything to 1 do with the --2 MS. KAREN NANCE: It was the 3 4 origin, at least. This is -- I've done research on the African-American slaves up there, and there 5 is a record of the one that had escaped. There 6 7 was one when the property was bought by the Jenkins that was bought as a runaway and then 8 there's two cases where two runaways were brought 9 10 back. That doesn't mean there weren't others who 11 actually escaped, but we do have records that they 12 did leave that plantation. MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Nobody is 13 disputing that the slave tried to run away, but 14 that's not the question. Was that part of the 15 16 underground railroad? 17 MS. KAREN NANCE: Yes, it -- yes, it was the beginning. 18 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: 19 Was that 20 part of the underground? 21

MR. NED JONES: If you can, let me back up. What we would like to have on that site is also a historical record of the black experience, which would include those who escaped,

22

23

24

```
those who stayed and their whole experience,
1
2
     because we think that would be meaningful.
3
                    MR. JOHNNY NANCE: Johnny Nance.
                                                        I
     do have a specific question on the history of the
4
     house.
5
6
                    MR. BUDRUS:
                                  Okay.
7
                    MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE:
8
     restoring a structure, you need the set a period
     of time. Has that period of time been
9
     established, or is that also open for
10
     interpretation?
11
                    MR. BUDRUS: It hasn't been
12
     established yet. We haven't got to that point.
13
14
     We want to get all the input from the public
     before that determination is made.
15
                    MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE:
                                             Well, will
16
     we have public input on that interpretation as
17
     well?
18
19
                     MR. BUDRUS: Yes, all through the
20
     process, periodic reviews, public meetings.
     continue the process. We're not going to do it in
21
22
     a void, no.
                     MS. BEVERLY WHITE:
                                         Is there a fact
23
     sheet or something, you know, on the lines of what
24
```

you displayed tonight, like on line or somewhere 1 we could get access to it? 2 3 MR. BUDRUS: Good point. developing a web page that will cover everything 4 on the Jenkins Site at Green Bottom. My estimate 5 is we'll have it up in May -- not May. 7 Somebody tried to say May, but there's not enough 8 days between now and then. 9 What we'll do is make it a pick off of the District's web page, WWW.LRH.USACE.ARMY.MIL, 10 and we should have that up in June. And what I'll 11 do is we'll have all the documents that we have 12 now, plus all the photographs so you'll be able to 13 14 look at it. 15 MS. BEVERLY WHITE: Right, because 16 I'm sure a lot of people are interested in this. MR. BUDRUS: Right now, the pile of 17 18 to go through is about this high, and it will take 19 us a couple or three more weeks to get that. we will have that available. Good point. 20 21 Have we missed anyone? 22 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Can you flip back to the map of Green Bottom there, 23

Okay. All right.

24

please?

Now, my name is Wendell Argabrite, and I have been going up there since the late fifties bird-watching in that area.

The existing area that you've got in the light blue there, the existing wet area, was wet area. Now, the area right behind the house was a wet area, but it was only wet in the spring when the rains came. And the only reason it wasn't wet all the time was there was established drainage. The people established drainage, kept it drained.

As soon as Mr. and Mrs. Knight moved out and they quit draining the area, why then it started drying up filling up.

MS. CLARA KNIGHT: It didn't have a drain there.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Excuse me, ma'am. I'm talking, please.

The area there had a creek run through it.

It was draining. It drained out. And as soon as they moved out, the beavers moved -- in fact, the beavers was in there before they moved out. I've seen Mr. Knight down there tearing out the beaver dam to keep it from flooding. And they -- soon as they moved out, why then that filled up with

water.

Now, you-all have established a nice wet area below there and the Corps of Engineers did a nice job and everything, but that is not as good a wet area as this one right here, because two years ago when we had a big drought, that whole area that you-all established went dry. This area did not go dry. It was an established natural area. The areas that you put in in the dark blue down there all went dry, which may happen again this year unless we start getting some rain.

MR. BUDRUS: We did have a problem last year with our pumping system from the Ohio River, but we fixed that.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Yeah, but you don't have enough money to pump water into it.

MR. BUDRUS: Okay. The other problem is that wetland is made so that the DNR can manipulate it to enhance growth during certain parts of the year. So, part of that is manipulated.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: It wasn't manipulated that year, and it went completely dry. The area over where you see the yellow between

there, the back area is dry right now except for a creek running through it. It goes -- it has been dry for several years and just fills up when we have heavy rains in the spring.

So, you actually have not wet area in that back there -- or no standing water. Let me put it that way. There's a wet area, but there's no standing water in that whole back area because as -- it has drained or it has dried up and has grown up, and there's very little there. Now, in the spring when we have heavy rains, sometimes it builds up; sometimes it doesn't.

So, actually you don't have as much wet area there as you have on the map -- or don't have standing water. Let me put it that way.

MR. BUDRUS: Wetland isn't necessarily standing water.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: I understand, and I added that in. It is wetland, though, but it is -- there is no standing water like behind the house. This blue right here is all standing water now.

All right. Now, for types of things that are in there that are nowhere else, in the area behind

the house, you have rails nesting. All these rails are believed to have nested there that we have in this area -- King, Virginia, and Soren. We know for sure Virginia and Soren. They're there just about every year. King we think nested there one year. Although we never found any nests, the birds were there.

There are few sites in West Virginia that they nest -- maybe two or three sites in West Virginia.

Also in that area, you have moorhens nesting. There's only two or three sites in West Virginia that moorhens nest. You have berings (Phonetic) that nest in that site. There's only a few areas there.

Last winter, you had a pair of trumpeter swans that wintered in there along with the mute swans. For those of you that don't know, trumpeter swans were -- at one time were all over the United States and were just about driven into extinction, hunted into extinction. They were completely killed out in the east.

And they have now brought in pairs and put them on the Great Lakes, up in Michigan and Ohio.

And the ones from Ohio, we're beginning to get some of them wintering there. And last year, they stayed almost entirely behind the house, in that pool behind the house.

So, if you fill that in, that's gone.

The donetary (Phonetic) warblers nest there, which are river bottom nesting -- nester that nests in this end of the state. That's prime territory for them.

There are several other types. Sandhill cranes. I have seen sandhill cranes in there twice in the last few years. Of course, they don't live there; they migrate through and use it. Bald eagles use that area.

Bobwhite quail have begun to infiltrate back in that area. That was the only place in Cabell County that I knew of where there were bobwhite quails. They were hunted out as soon as the DNR took over, but they are beginning to come back now. There's one calling up there about every evening. You can hear him off the boardwalk.

Now, you say, well, we can move the wet area.

They take a dryer area. But you say we can take

the wet area and move it over here. Then there

goes its habitat. But this is prime habitat.

Now, you're talking about the number of people there. I myself have led groups of birders from Florida, Virginia, California, Pennsylvania, Michigan, Arkansas, Tennessee, Ohio and Kentucky up there, groups that came in here to see the types of things that are found just about exclusively at Green Bottom.

I can't remember the exact name of the college, but Salem -- what is that, Mike?

MR. BUDRUS: Teikyo.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: I can't pronounce the last name. They come down there every year. They bring their ornithology class and they bring some other classes down there every year. Marshall constantly uses that place up there.

And as far as people, they say, okay, they had 400 up there in the last year. There will be more birders than that in there in a month's time probably birding that area up there. That is one of the best areas in West Virginia to bird.

Not the birders but the hunters. Good God, I don't know how many hunters are hunting that area

ACCURATE REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

there. Kem can probably give you some information on that. But that is a very important area. And by taking, filling in part of it and moving, you know, down here to another area and establish a wet area over there, that's -- that's not the same as having one large wet area.

MR. BUDRUS: Right.

MS. KAREN NANCE: This is Karen Nance. I just wanted to speak towards the history, beings you spoke towards that.

The Jenkins Plantation -- I've done a lot of research on the Jenkins Plantation. It wasn't just any plantation on the area. There was slaves brought in there by the Nichols, Governor Nichols, in 1814. They were there until after West Virginia was no longer having slaves.

At one time in 1820, there were 53 slaves there. There was more than one generation of slaves there.

I have researched. In the 1850s, that was the largest slave-holding agricultural plantation on the Ohio River all the way down to almost Maysville. So, that is a very significant site for the African America population that spent

generations there working in those fields.

In 1850, of the acreage that was there, just to give you an example, their big crop was corn. They brought in 40,000 bushels of corn off that property. There were barns everywhere. There was silos everywhere. They had cattle. They had pigs. They had a good many different agricultural goods, which the list is huge. There's 41, 42 columns of things that are collected for the agricultural census.

So, this is historically -- there isn't another site like this. There isn't another place we can do this on. And I thought even -- speaking of the Jenkins family -- I'm just talking about the significance of that community that was there for such a long period of time and that the fact that there was slavery in what is now West Virginia is one of the heritage things we need to talk to our children more about, because these people worked and also produced, and that production is what built this state and this area was their labor, their work.

And the Jenkins family itself -- Albert graduated from Marshall. He graduated from

Harvard at the age of 20 with a law degree. He went on to be a US congressman of 19 counties in Virginia until the Civil War. He didn't run the 1860 and the war started '61. He was in the congress when they first started out. He became a brigadier general and was killed at Floyd's Mountain.

He had a daughter who studied at the Conservatory of Music. Then he had another brother that was a physician. He got his degree in Pennsylvania. And then he had -- the father himself had been a shipping magnate. And so he knew a lot of different people.

And McCormick stopped there on the river when he was coming down the river. He stopped at the Jenkins Plantation. He had one of the largest amount of money involved in equipment, agriculture equipment, of that period that he had there.

So, that is a very historic agricultural center.

MR. BUDRUS: Yes, we understand that, and that's something that needs to be looked at and considered.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: All right.

It may be a very significant historical site and it may be the only one that is a very significant archeological site, and there's no others like it in West Virginia either.

MR. BUDRUS: That's where we need to work to try to better this together because we don't want to destroy what you have there. We want to try to make both of these -- it would be nice to have both of the attractions that you have there now.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Well, you're not going to do it by filling it in.

MR. BUDRUS: I understand. But we need to try to find a way to maximize both of them and not be detrimental to what's already there. I know it's tough. It's going to be a challenge.

He has had his hand up for quite a while.

MR. MICHAEL SHEETS: My name is
Michael Sheets, and I'm a Cabell County school
teacher, social studies teacher. I have a couple
of questions. The birds that you say -- how long
have they been there?

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Since the area was put in, since it flooded that area, the

beavers flooded that area. 1 MR. MICHAEL SHEETS: So, they're 2 3 not native to this area? MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: 4 Yes, they are native to this area. They nest here. They 5 nest very little here. 6 7 MR. MICHAEL SHEETS: How long have 8 they been nesting here? MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: The rails 9 and everything, as that area behind the house 10 flooded, they moved. Now, they had already been 11 12 nesting in some of the other areas -- or some of 13 them had. The Virginia rails had problems. 14 I don't even want to say that because I don't know 15 for sure. But we started finding them. After the beavers built the dams and that area flooded, they 16 started nesting in that area. 17 MR. MICHAEL SHEETS: But they 18 weren't there before? 19 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Not to my 20 knowledge. They pass through, but we did not know 21 22 of them nesting. MR. MICHAEL SHEETS: So, they are a 23 24 recent addition then?

1 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: They are a recent addition. 2 3 MS. DOVIE DUNN: Why couldn't they go to the left and the right then? I don't 4 5 understand. I'm not trying to be nasty. I'm 6 merely trying to --7 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: What was 8 the question? 9 MS. DOVIE DUNN: My question is 10 they didn't come until 1979 or '80 or whatever and 11 they dammed that up and then those birds came. 12 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Right. 13 MS. DOVIE DUNN: Okay. 14 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Because the 15 habitat was right for them. And besides, their 16 areas in other parts of the country were beginning 17 to contract. 18 MS. DOVIE DUNN: • But if they were 19 smart enough to do that, why wouldn't they be 20 smart enough to go over on the left and on the 21 right and just have that one little strip? And 22 then we'd all have everything. 23 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Go where? 24 MS. DOVIE DUNN: Where the swamp

1 is.

MR. PETE SAMOSKY: That's what I was trying to say, ma'am. It's not to just put some water there to cause the swamp for the birds. It's not the same thing. This is the only place where you can go where there is protective shore. There's trees and there's a shore.

Those ponds that the Corps built, these birds are not going to go there. That's not what they're looking for. They're looking for a very specific area.

And he's correct. That's the only place out there where you can go see them right now. I know that may sound -- may mean nothing, doesn't mean anything to a lot of people, but that is the only place you can go see them.

Now, I think he's right. You could do this if you built another boardwalk where there's protected shore, but you really need to think about it.

MR. BUDRUS: We need to look at that.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: You can't just establish a wet area anyplace. You can't go

in there and say we're going to move our wet area over here and then go over there and dig out and make a wet area.

As I said -- excuse me, please. The lower wet area that they established there goes dry in dry years. This area does not go dry in dry years. So, you go over there -- let's just say you say all right, we'll fill this in and put it all over towards the river. You-all go over there and bulldoze that out and make another wet area. In wet years, that's fine. In dry years, huh-uh.

MR. BUDRUS: And I appreciate your comments, and that's something that we've got to consider as we come up with a proposal. You're a hundred percent right. We need to consider that, yes.

MR. TERRY HACKNEY: My name is Terry Hackney. I have a comment and a question.

This gentleman here talked about moving the boardwalk and what would happen if the boardwalk were moved.

I've done a lot of volunteer work for different organizations over the years. I've done a lot of trail-building up in Parkersburg. What

sort of volunteer work could be done by birders?

And, of course, I'd be interested in volunteering

work on the house. What kind of volunteer work

would be allowed to be done by birders to move the

boardwalk?

MR. BUDRUS: I'm sure the

MR. BUDRUS: I'm sure the

Department of Natural Resources would accept a lot

of help. But we haven't looked at where that is,

where we would go. There's a lot of logistics

involved.

MR. PETE SAMOSKY: I'm telling you if you ever saw a moorhen, and like this gentleman is talking about, you wouldn't forget it. And you're not going to see one in Cabell County unless you go to that spot in the swamp right on the other side of the house. That's it. There isn't -- I don't think there's another place you could see them.

MR. BUDRUS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. JOHNNY VANCE: It sounds to me like we've got two basic concerns, and both basic concerns are only going to find what they want in one site.

So, it seems like it's going to be a

challenge to satisfy them and satisfy us and make 1 It's got to work. If you've got the 2 it work. only southern plantation in the whole state of 3 West Virginia and you've got the only wetlands 4 5 that harbor these birds, then it has got --6 they've got to be a way that both can work. 7 And I guess that's what this meeting is 8 about. Is that not true? 9 MR. BUDRUS: That's what we're trying to find. And I realize it's going to be a 10 11 challenge, but we'd like to take that up. 12 MS. KAREN NANCE: And with the bird 13 watchers, I would like to tell them that if that 14 house was not there, that would be hunting area on

MR. PETE SAMOSKY: So what?

MS. KAREN NANCE: It has to be within 500 feet. That's what protects that area. So, without that site, then that area would be within 500 feet of the railroad track, and that would be an area where the birds couldn't go.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that front lawn.

So I think that if we -- the problem is the standing water. And wetlands is not necessarily standing water. And most of the area, is that --

the problem with water on that structure -- let's say you have the '37 flood. That house is still standing, went through lots of floods because you dry it out after the flood and you do repairs and then everything is fine.

But what really damages the structure is the constant moisture, not the temporarily. It will do some damage if it's flooded, like warp the floors or something, but that house's floors have been okay. But it's that standing water that is the problem that doesn't allow year drainage most of the time.

You can't drain that site properly where the house is with the standing water there. But if the water, if -- it could still be wetlands. It was wetlands. I mean, you know, the definition of wetlands doesn't mean a pond of water. You're saying a lot of these birds don't like it in the middle of the pond. Then why do we have to have the pond?

MR. PETE SAMOSKY: Well, let's go to the facts. The Corps says the wetland is -the water there is not damaging the house. That's the Corps' news in 1995. They did a study on this

and that's what they're saying. It may be 1 2 counteractive, and that may not make sense, but that's --3 MR. BUDRUS: And, you know, it's 5 something that needs to be looked at, I guess, and --6 7 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITGE: The pond there does not raise or lower the natural water 8 table in that land. Am I not right about that? 9 10 The natural depth of the water in the ground, the 11 groundwater, that pond really does not raise or lower it? 12 I don't know what 13 MR. BUDRUS: 14 effect it has on the level of the groundwater 15 myself. 16 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Well, put 17 the pond -- if I have a house here and put a pond in the hollow out here beside of it, that doesn't 18 raise the groundwater level. 19 MR. BUDRUS: Well, it will have an 20 21 effect in the immediate area. But as you get 22 farther away, it dissipates. But I couldn't tell you exactly what that is, no. 23

The question I --

MR. NED JONES:

24

the example I tried to give earlier is the one thing we need to find out is what is the soil like underneath the house and to see whether with water coming up like it did in the house I built, seven years later all of a sudden coming up, whether -- what we did was to cut a ditch in there for drainage, and that took care of the problem with the house. So we didn't have a problem.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Okay. The example you used was a wet area above the level of the basement, wasn't it?

MR. NED JONES: Well, no, but the -- where the water actually affected the house was two and a half feet below the footer.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Yeah, but the water was actually above the level of the footer?

MR. NED JONES: What it did, it went over here and soaked down, and it came over here just like -- you know, there's a question.

But I'm trying to get an understanding, and I don't -- this isn't anything that has much significance to it, but it appears to me that if additional land were needed to portray the

historic value of the house that you would be more 1 2 interested in the darker water being moved than the light water being moved. 3 Is that accurate? Or are both of those 4 5 significant to you? MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Well, this 6 7 land -- what's wrong with the area to the right of the house? 8 9 MR. PETE SAMOSKY: Exactly. 10 dry right now. MR. NED JONES: Is that near the 11 Is that where that is? 12 creek? MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: 13 No, in the big field out there. 14 MR. NED JONES: I understand that. 15 What you have to do is see how the whole 16 17 interpretation of the -- my question is from your comments, the blue water, the dark blue water 18 isn't significant. What you're concerned about 19 is, if I understood your comments correctly, was 20 the light blue water because the dark blue water 21 that you're showing on the map sometimes goes dry, 22 doesn't have the right habitat, doesn't have the 23

24

boardwalk.

MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: 1 It's just 2 different. And the boardwalk doesn't interest me. I'm not -- I mean I take that back. 3 It interests 4 I like to walk on the boardwalk. 5 has nothing to do with it. The boardwalk could be 6 put anywhere, although it's a lot of work. 7 The dark area there is the wetland that the Corps has created, and it does not hold water as 8 well and it does go dry, where the blue area is 10 nature's creation, and although I spent ten years Army and had a good time all ten years and think a 11 12 lot of the Corps, they're not as good as nature. 13 MR. BUDRUS: We agree with you. 14 What was created by nature, it's probably hard for 15 us to create that. We do the best that we can. 16 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: In fact, I 17 think you-all had to haul special dirt in there and line those ponds, didn't you, to get them to 18 19 hold water? You didn't? Okay. My understanding 20 was you did. 21 MR. BUDRUS: No. 22 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: You didn't put some kind of lining in those? 23

No.

If you look at

MR. BUDRUS:

24

```
1
     the wetlands we're creating at Byrd, the project
2
     itself --
3
                    MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE:
                                             They're
4
     dry.
                     MR. BUDRUS: -- we had to bring
5
 6
     some clay in there because that's all sand.
 7
                     MR. MASLOWSKI: And they're
     completely dry.
 8
 9
                     MR. BUDRUS: We haven't created
10
     them yet?
                     MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: I'm sorry?
11
                     MR. BUDRUS: We haven't created
12
13
     them yet.
14
                     MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE:
                                             Oh, I
     thought you was talking about the ones across the
15
16
     road from the fish hatchery.
                     MR. BUDRUS: That hasn't been
17
18
     created yet. That's just an open field. We
     haven't awarded a contract to build that one yet.
19
20
                     MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Oh, okay.
     Well, I thought it was finished because there's
21
     been water standing in it, but it's completely
22
23
     dry.
                     MR. BUDRUS: That's not finished
24
```

1 yet. Give us a chance. 2 MS. JUNE ASHWORTH: June Ashworth. If we create -- if you establish the time period 3 that you're going to restore the house, is there a way to determine what was in front of the house 5 during that time period? MR. BUDRUS: Do we have anything? MS. JUNE ASHWORTH: And if there 8 is, and if they had all of the props and if they 9 had all of the -- and I've lived on a farm and I 10 11 know we didn't have that in the -- and believe it or not, that was the front of the house, not the 12 back of the house. 13 MR. BUDRUS: Yes, I understand. 14 MS. JUNE ASHWORTH: That is the 15 front of the house and not the back of the house. 16 17 If we establish, are we going to establish a 1980 or 1992 because that was there? Are we going 18 19 to establish the house -- are we going to reconstruct the house the way it was in '92 or 20 1900 or 1870 or 1860? 21 22 MR. BUDRUS: Okay. MS. JUNE ASHWORTH: 23 That's what -that's the first thing we need to establish. 24

MR. BUDRUS: That's one of the 1 things that has to be established is the date and 2 3 time to restore the house to. MR. PETE SAMOSKY: How are you 5 going to do that? He already asked the question. You already asked that question, the gentleman who 6 7 was -- Mr. Nance asked you. You said, yeah, we're going to do that; we're going to get input. 8 What's the process? 9 10 MR. BUDRUS: We'll get together between the West Virginia Division of Culture and 11 History, DNR, the Corps, balance all the input 12 that we have, and then establish a date that we 13 should restore the house to. 14 15 I don't know if there's a formal process for that that you know of? 16 MS. SUSAN PIERCE: Well, I would 17 18 just point out a couple of things, if you don't 19 mind. MR. BUDRUS: Go ahead. 20 MS. SUSAN PIERCE: My name is Susan 21 Pierce. And actually, I just want to review what 22 23 -- and maybe you can flip back to the chart where 24 you have what was in the Water Resources Act.

secretary shall ensure the preservation and restoration of the structure known as the Jenkins House located within the Lesage, Green Bottom Swamp in accordance with standards for sites listed on the National Register or Historic Places.

In my opinion, there are a couple of key terms in that sentence -- preservation, restoration, the structure known as the Jenkins House, standards. The standards that I believe that they are referring to are the standards set forth by the Department of the Interior.

There are definitions for the two terms

"preservation" and "restoration." And for the

record -- please bear with me -- preservation is

defined as the act or process of applying measures

necessary to sustain the existing form, integrity

and materials of an historic property. Work

including preliminary measures to protect and

stabilize the property generally focuses upon the

ongoing maintenance and repair of historic

materials and features rather than extensive

replacement and new construction.

New exterior additions are not within the

scope of this treatment. However, the limited and sensitive upgrading of mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems and other code required work to make properties functional is appropriate within a preservation project.

1.8

That's the definition which is followed by eight standards for preservation.

Restoration has a definition as well. It is defined as the act or process of accurately depicting the form, features and characters of a property as it appeared at a particular period of time by means of the removal of features from other periods in its history and reconstruction of missing features from the restoration period. The limited and sensitive upgrading of mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems and other code required work to make properties functional is appropriate within a restoration project.

Within the limits of this sentence, the Corps is obliged to determine how to preserve and restore the structure known as the Jenkins House. If that requires addressing issues caused by the wetlands on the stable -- the stability of the foundation of the building, I think the Corps is

obliged to address that and to take into account the concerns that various groups have voiced tonight.

Restoration talks about a specific period. I think most people would agree that the period of significance would be associated with the General's occupation of the property.

So, you have some standards to begin with, but you have to work through the actual structural aspects of restoration and preservation of the structure known as the Jenkins House.

Now, there may be different opinions as to what the structure known as the Jenkins House is, and that would be up for further discussion. And as Wayne said, coming to the measures that would be appropriate for the preservation and restoration of the resource I think will continue through work between our agencies and the public.

MR. BUDRUS: Thank you. Have I gotten everyone who has turned in a card? We kind of out of hand here. Sheri Pettit?

MS. SHERI PETTIT: I just said I may speak, but everyone else has done a wonderful job.

1	MS. NANCY SPURLOCK: I have one.
2	MR. BUDRUS: What's your name,
3	ma'am?
4	MS. SPURLOCK: Nancy Spurlock. You
5	always hear the complaints about the mosquitoes
6	carrying disease. They spread disease. The more
7	water you make the more mosquitos you make; the
8	more mosquitoes make, the more mosquitoes you
9	have. They say throw away tires. Don't have any
10	standing water. And all the water is creating
11	more mosquitos because I live up there and I know.
12	MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: I don't
13	know of any disease around here carried by
14	mosquitoes.
15	MS. NANCY SPURLOCK: You hear that
16	all the time about that.
17	MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Well, you
18	hear that all the time.
19	MR. BUDRUS: Her point is that the
20	more water you have the more mosquitos you are
21	going to have. Whether there's diseases, I don't
22	know.
23	Peter or Beverly Samosky?
24	MR. PETER SAMOSKY: We spoke.

Beverly didn't. I just would point out for the 1 record that the word "structure" is in that 2 sentence. 3 MR. BUDRUS: Wendell. 4 MR. WENDELL ARGABRITE: Yes, I've 5 spoken. 6 7 MR. BUDRUS: Eleanor? MS. ELEANOR TAYLOR: I've already 8 spoken, but I would make one comment. 9 They asked how you can determine what it was 10 like say in the 1860s. And the family decendents 11 12 have diaries, memorials that the great-grandmothers wrote describing what they were 13 doing on the property right after the war, and I 14 15 assume --MR. BUDRUS: That's one thing I've 16 been talking to Bob about. I think the earliest 17 photograph he has is 1906. But if there's any 18 other information from previous residents or 19 previous people who lived there, either written 20 diaries or photographs, we can make copies of 21 22 those. MR. MASLOWSKI: Victor Wilson. 23 MR. BUDRUS: Victor Wilson. Okay. 24

A VOICE: I'll give you his number 1 as well. 2 Anything that we have. 3 MR. BUDRUS: What gives us an idea what it looked like we would 4 like. It would help a lot. Right now, it's 5 6 conjecture. 7 Sir? MR. H. HOWARD: H. Howard. I know 8 a guy named Mort. His grandfather worked that 9 after the Jenkinses left that plantation. He owns 10 a home improvement thing down on 10th Street. 11 12 Mort is the first name. I can't think of his last 13 name. 14 A VOICE: Reed. Reed. Mort Reed. 15 MR. H. HOWARD: They worked it for years and years, and I think he told me that he 16 had a lot of pictures and all kinds of things. 17 MR. BUDRUS: Okay. Because any 18 contacts we can get, old photographs or diaries, 19 would really help, you know, reconstruct what it 20 looked like, because right now we've got an idea, 21 22 but that's all. 23 Karen? I got you. Clara? Any other comments? Any other comments or suggestions or 24

1	ideas?
2	MR. PETE SAMOSKY: Thanks for
3	letting us talk.
4	MR. BUDRUS: Thank you for coming.
5	I really appreciate your input. We've got a lot
6	to go through. In addition to what has been said
7	tonight, I think we've got 10 or 15 letters from
8	people who couldn't attend.
9	As we get all of this put together, it will
10	be included in the web site. So, you can see the
11	comments we get in in writing in addition to what
12	we talked about tonight.
13	MR. WRIGHT: On that web site, it
14	will have a place for you to send comments to.
15	You can log on and send us comments through the
16	web site.
17	MR. BUDRUS: But thank you all for
18	coming. I appreciate the input and we look
19	forward to working with each of you.
20	(At 8:57 p.m., the hearing was
21	concluded.)
22	- 0 -
23	
24	

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF CABELL, to-wit: I, Basil J. Ferrebee, Certified Court Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing is, to the best of my skill and ability, a true and accurate transcript of all the proceedings had in the aforementioned matter, as reported by me in stenographic characters and transcribed into the English language. Given under my hand this 17th day of May, 2001. Sasil & Further Basil J. Ferrebee, CCR, RPR